

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/013,988	12/13/2001	Gheorghe Cosma	34090-06263	1007
75	90 10/11/2002			
Patrick J Coyne Esq			EXAMINER	
Collier Shannon Scott PLLC 3050 K Street NW			SOLIS, ERICK R	
Suite 400				
Washington, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)						
10/013,988 COSMA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
Erick R Solis 3747						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 December 2001</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Art Unit: 3747

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**Drawings** 

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of

drawings, filed on 13 December 2001 have been approved. A proper drawing correction

or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of

the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the current

drawings are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent

patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no

longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Reissue Applications

3. This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as the assignee has not

established its ownership interest in the patent for which reissue is being requested. An

assignee must establish its ownership interest in order to support the consent to a

reissue application required by 37 CFR 1.172(a). The assignee's ownership interest is

established by:

(a) filing in the reissue application evidence of a chain of title from the original

owner to the assignee, or

Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

(b) specifying in the record of the reissue application where such evidence is recorded in the Office (e.g., reel and frame number, etc.).

The submission with respect to (a) and (b) to establish ownership must be signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 1410.01.

An appropriate paper satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 3.73 must be submitted in reply to this Office action.

Specifically, the patent was assigned at the time of grant to an entity called "Diesel Engine Retarders" and therefore, it is unclear how the inventors are assigning the invention to "Jacobs Vehicle Systems., Inc." in the paper executed 19 December 1997.

4. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The applicant does not specifically state what the changes to the claims are, applicant must describe how the new claims differ from the patented claims.

5. Claims 1-50 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3747

6. Claims 22-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Specifically, in the original patent application an amendment received on 19 May 1999 added language to independent claims 1 and 23 to overcome prior art rejections. This language included the phrase in claim 1 "wherein said braking means accomplishes at least two braking operations for the at least one exhaust valve per engine cycle during the engine braking operation, wherein said intake valve operating means delays the operation of the at least one intake valve during the engine braking operation. In claim 23 (which became patented claim 19) the language included two compression release events initiated prior to compression TDC and exhaust TDC and also delaying intake valve opening.

Art Unit: 3747

7. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 22,25,26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hu (US Patent 5680841). See Figs. 8, 9 and 10. Note that during a positive power mode hydraulic fluid is supplied to the hydraulic passage while the exhaust lobe of the cam contacts the rocker and that during engine braking mode hydraulic fluid supply is cutoff to the hydraulic passage while the exhaust lobe contacts the rocker arm. This reads on applicants' claims. See claims 22,23 and 34.
- 10. The preliminary amendment does not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b). The following changes are required.

```
on pg. 4, line 1, "Fig. 6" should be - Fig. [5] <u>6</u> -;
on pg. 4, line 4, "Fig. 6" should be - Fig. [5] <u>6</u> -;
on pg. 10, line 7, "opening 4" should be - opening <u>4</u> -;
on pg. 10, line 8, "cycle 3" should be - cycle <u>3</u> -
```

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erick R. Solis whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2651. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Erick R. Solis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

Page 6

ers October 2, 2002